

iFADO Final Workshop Lisbon, Portugal 1<sup>st</sup> June 2023

# Innovative numerical model validation



iFADO Final Workshop Lisbon, Portugal 1st June 2023

This project has received funding from the European Union's Interreg Atlantic Area programme under the grant EAPA\_165/2016





- Models needs validation, calibration and parameterization.
- Needs data.
- Difficulty of getting data in many regions of the ocean (open ocean, for example).
- iFADO provides several types of data
- Integration of different types of data to validate and calibrate the models (from iFADO partners and other sources)
- Satellite products, CTD, ARGO floats, BIO-ARGO floats, Gliders, climatology (WOA), other models, etc
- Integration of validation results and products from the models, like MSFD indices, helps in the implementation and parameterization
- The modelling results have been validated with the in situ and remote sensing data made available during the iFADO project (e.g. D.7.3.3).
  - Development of tools to get data, validate models, compute MSFD indices (Action 4, D7.4.1, D7.4.2, D7.4.3)





## **Remote Sensing**





# **SST Validation - MUR**

MMSE (PC) (Mean = 8.609)

MS

GRW

CMEMS SST vs Satellite SST (Microwave + Infra-red + In Situ)(\*)



41.2 11.2 :0.6

42

129W



45 6 65 1 55 2

Satellite Average (%C) (Mean = 20.700)

Model Average (\*C) (Mean = 20.531)



16.5 18.3 20.1 21.9 23.7 25.5 PRODUCT-ID\_IPL\_DONOCEAN-LAUMING-GLOB-NUM-0.01P (P) MUR MEASURE: Property 2010. 04/037 Level 4 MUR Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis. Ver. 2: PO 044C, CA, USA, Dataset acressed at PDp.//dx.doi.org/15.5007/046998-4001.





-0.2 0.4 11.6 16.0 - 1

\*

Temporal evolution of the statistical parameters



MOHID SST vs Satellite SST (Microwave + Infra-red + In Situ)(\*)





-0.2 11.2 0.6



12PW

1.1 1.0

10PW

418 0 0.1 1 14 3

62W

PRODUCT ID: IPL, DUROCEAN LAUHING GLOS-MUR, 6 09F IPL NUM WEAKINGS Propert. 2010. (HMD31 Lowel 4 MUR (Jockel Foundation San Serlier: Temperature Analysis) Ver. 2. PG 044C, EX, USA, Disaset accessed at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/104098-84.001

129W





37W

4.1W

Interreg

**Atlantic Area** European Regional Development Fund

> Sugar and -54(2) \*\*\*\*\*\* \*



iFADO Final Workshop Lisbon, Portugal 1st June 2023

**FADO** Comparison with OSTIA SST (2017 year) RMSE Model T (°C) Bias R Satellite T (°C) Coef. Correlation R (Mean = 0.994) Bias (°C) (Mean = 0.172) RMSE (°C) (Mean = 0.382) Model Average (°C) (Mean = 19.605) Satellite Average (°C) (Mean = 19.432) 20.9 40 MERCATOR 399 394 39° 20.4 389 370 37 370 37° -19.9 32°W 32°W 30°W 28°W 26°W 32°W 30°W 28°W 26°W 30°W 28°W 26°W 32°W 30°W 28°W 26°W 32°W 30°W 28°W 26°W Coef. Correlation R (Mean = 0.996) Bias (°C) (Mean = 0.049) RMSE (°C) (Mean = 0.271) Model Average (°C) (Mean = 19.481) Satellite Average (°C) (Mean = 19.432) - 19.4 MOHID

28°W

26°W

0.6

370

32°W

30°W

28°W

26°W

379

32°W

30°W

28°W

26°W

1.0

26°W

32°W

30°W

0.0

000

26°W

0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2

28°W

32°W

0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.2

30°W

37

32°W

30°W

28°W

5

- 18.9

- 18.4





# **Biogeochemical model – Validation and calibration**

# II.5.5 How to intercompare the chlorophyll-a observation with a bio model product?

Vertical profile of chlorophyll-*a* from modelling should be averaged over the optical depth with a weighting factor exponentially decreasing (g(z)). This factor is set to 1 for surface concentration and set to 0 for concentration at the bottom of the optical layer (Gordon and Clark, 1980 [RD39]). The integration formula is:

$$C = \frac{\int_0^{Zeu} CHL(z) * g(z) * dz}{\int_0^{Zeu} g(z) * dz}$$

With g(z), the weighting factor defined as:

COPERNICUS MARINE ENVIRONM

$$g(z) = e^{(-2*KD490*z)}$$

ONITORING SERVICE

Page 22/93

MERCATOR OCEAN

In CMEMS-OC-QUID-009-030-032-033-037-081-082-083-085-086-098.pdf, Copernicus Quality Information Document



iFADO





### Model validation at the surface

- Nutrients (Nitrate, Phosphate, Silicate)
  - Dissolved oxygen



VS

Copernicus model-Global Ocean Physics Analysis 0.08<sup>o</sup>

•

World Ocean Atlas 2018 (WOA18)-Climatology based on in-situ data



https://data.marine.copernicus.eu/products

Garcia et al., 2018 https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/archive/accession/NCEI-WOA18

### Credits: Cláudia Viegas







# **ARGO floats**





### MOHID vs Argo floats

#### Total floats for Temperature: 58 Total floats for Salinity: 58

### 11-Jan-2017 to 23-Dec-2017

















# **BIO-ARGO floats**







BIO ARGO profiles for 2017 year



BIO ARGO profiles for 2018 year



BIO ARGO profiles for 2019 year



BIO ARGO profiles for 2020 yea







- Spatial and seasonal variability in the Azores region are well represented
  - Along the water column
    - Deep chlorophyll maximum- is well established
    - DCM- between between 50 and 100 meters depth
    - Higher phytoplankton concentrations in the North of the domain
    - North





# Gliders





# **Glider Distribution**

Glider: laochra-na-mara



Glider: p201



Glider: bonpland

Glider: p302

-- /



http://www.ifremer.fr/co/ego/ego/v2/



#### Time: 07-Feb-2020 to 04-May-2020



CMEMS product: GLOBAL\_ANALYSIS\_FORECAST\_BIO\_001\_028



GLOBAL\_ANALYSIS\_FORECAST\_BIO\_001\_028

iFADO Final Workshop Lisbon, Portugal 1st June 2023



# Salinity and Temperature Profiles

















#### Time: 22-Oct-2019 to 05-Nov-2019





GLOBAL\_ANALYSIS\_FORECAST\_BIO\_001\_028



CMEMS product: GLOBAL\_ANALYS6\_FORECAST\_BIO\_001\_028











GLOBAL\_ANALYSIS\_FORECAST\_BIO\_001\_028





CMEMS product: GLOBAL\_ANALYSIS\_FORECAST\_BIO\_001\_028





# Climatology









Velocity Modulus Average (m/l5) 0.00 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.40

Bary 107 Homas

È



### **Biogeochemical model in Azores – Validation and calibration** WOA and CMEMS Comparison vs MOHID



Vertical distribution of monthly average (June, 2017) for phytoplankton, nitrate, dissolved oxygen, phosphorus, silica, temperature and salinity for WOA-2018, CMEMS and different MOHID calibration scenarios.

### **Biogeochemical model in Madeira – Validation and calibration** WOA Comparison vs MOHID



iFADO







# **MSFD** Indices

### Same as Python-based Graphical User Interface (GUI) tool for computing MSFD from IMI





 $egin{aligned} extsf{Deficit} extsf{potential} extsf{Energy} = \ & rac{1}{H_0+\xi} \int_{-H}^{\xi} (ar{
ho}ho_z) gz \; dz \end{aligned}$ 

with H the bathymetry and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  the free surface elevation

Eq. freshwater depth = 
$$\int_{-H}^{\xi} \frac{S_0 - S_z}{S_0} dz$$

with  $S_0$  a reference salinity

 $Front index(i,j) = \frac{1}{2}max\Big(\frac{Strat_{(i+1,j)} - Strat_{(i-1,j)}}{dx_{i,j}}, \frac{Strat_{(i,j+1)} - Strat_{(i,j-1)}}{dy}\Big)$ 

with *Strat* an index of stratification based on :

- the deficit of potential energy or
- the maximum vertical gradient of temperature, salinity, or density.

Okubo Weiss = 
$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2$$

First two terms refer to the shear stress, last one refer to vorticity. Eddies are low values delineated by high values.

### Huret M. et al. (2009), Planque, Lazure, and Jegou (2006)

iFADO Final Workshop Lisbon, Portugal 1st June 2023

Strat	tifica	ation	ind	ires
Juai			IIIU	ILES

Deficit of potential energy Max. vertical gradient in density Max. vertical gradient in temperature Max. vertical gradient in salinity Mixed layer depth Depth of thermocline Depth of pycnocline Depth of halocline

### **Frontal indices**

Density front from Deficit in pot. Energy Density front from Max. vertical gradient Thermal front from Max. vertical gradient Haline front from Max. vertical gradient

### **Plume indices**

Equivalent freshwater depth

### Eddy indices

Vorticity (at 10m depth) Okubo-Weiss (at 10 m depth)

### Upwelling indices

Integrated vertical velocities

### Surface, bottom

Temperature Salinity Density Biogeochemical properties

Velocity U Velocity V Velocity modulus



### Frontal

# **MSFD** indices

density front from deficit in potential energy (kg.m-2.s-2) 02-Jan-2017 12:00



MLDtemp (m) Average period: 20170201\_20170228







## **MSFD** indices

## Biogeochemical model - Mixed layer Depth and nutrients (MLD) dynamics

small\_Phytoplanitios\_MOHD
 Diatoms\_MOHD
 MoHD
 Mitale\_MOHD
 Moved Layer Depth

#### Phytoplankton (mg/L), Nitrate (mgN/L) and Mixed Layer Depth (m)

iFADO





Mixed layer dynamics act directly, on the nutrient supply, on the availability of light, and consequently, on phytoplankton dynamics (Doney et al., 2001)



iFADO Final Workshop Lisbon, Portugal 1<sup>st</sup> June 2023

# Thank you !



This project has received funding from the European Union's Interreg Atlantic Area programme under the FADO FINAL Workshop Lisbon, Portugal 1st June 2023